



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: FY21 Statewide Wildlife Terrestrial Habitat, State

Program: EQIP

Template: FY2021 EQIP

Last Modified By: Alan Fulk

Pool Status: Active

Template Status: Active

Last Modified: 02-02-2021

Land Uses

Land Use	Modifier 1	Modifier 2	Modifier 3	Modifier 4	Modifier 5	Modifier 6
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Degraded plant condition	0	30	35
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	35	35
Terrestrial habitat	0	35	35

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	--	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	--	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	--	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	--	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	100	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100

Practices

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	P
Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner	521	P
Structures for Wildlife	649	P
Short Term Storage of Animal Waste and By-Products	318	P
Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment	520	P
Alley Cropping	311	P
Brush Management	314	P
Conservation Cover	327	P
Cover Crop	340	P
Critical Area Planting	342	P
Dike	356	P
Diversion	362	P
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment	380	P
Fence	382	P
Field Border	386	P
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	P
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	P
Filter Strip	393	P
Firebreak	394	P
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	395	P
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	P
Grassed Waterway	412	P
Hedgerow Planting	422	P
Irrigation System, Microirrigation	441	P
Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface	443	P
Access Control	472	P
Mulching	484	P
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	P
Obstruction Removal	500	P
Forage Harvest Management	511	P
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	P

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
Livestock Pipeline	516	P
Pumping Plant	533	P
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment	548	P
Range Planting	550	P
Drainage Water Management	554	P
Access Road	560	P
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	P
Spring Development	574	P
Trails and Walkways	575	P
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	580	P
Structure for Water Control	587	P
Pest Management Conservation System	595	P
Vegetative Barrier	601	P
Herbaceous Wind Barriers	603	P
Subsurface Drain	606	P
Surface Drain, Field Ditch	607	P
Surface Drain, Main or Lateral	608	P
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	P
Watering Facility	614	P
Underground Outlet	620	P
Water Harvesting Catchment	636	P
Water Well	642	P
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	P
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	P
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	P
Shallow Water Development and Management	646	P
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	P
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation	650	P
Forest Trails and Landings	655	P
Wetland Restoration	657	P
Wetland Enhancement	659	P
Tree/Shrub Pruning	660	P
Forest Stand Improvement	666	P
Prescribed Grazing	528	P
Stream Crossing	578	P
TA Design	911	P
TA Application	912	P

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
TA Check-Out	913	P
Fuel Break	383	P
Silvopasture	381	P
Woody Residue Treatment	384	P
Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	329	P
Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	345	P
Multi-Story Cropping	379	P
Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment	654	P
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	P

Ranking Component Weights

Category	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Default	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY21 Statewide Wildlife Terrestrial Habitat, State (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
WA State	Washington State	--
	Otherwise	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: FY21 Statewide Wildlife Terrestrial Habitat, State		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
FY21 Statewide Wildlife Terrestrial Habitat, State	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: State questions:		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Select ALL that apply:	The participant requested a plan prior to June 1, 2020. 20 points	20
	Application is associated with an ALE easement that requires a practice applied as per this plan to treat an endangered species and received points on their easement application ranking. 30 points	30
	The AD-1155 will contain only practices that are non-engineering. Or, designs have already been reviewed and approved by NRCS. Engineering practices include: 309 - 313 - 316 - 317 - 319 - 320 - 326 - 350 - 351 - 353 - 356 - 360 - 362 - 367 - 372 - 373 - 374 - 375 - 376 - 378 - 388 - 395 - 396 - 410 - 412 - 428 - 430 - 441 - 442 - 443 - 449 - 450 - 460 - 464 - 500 - 516 - 520 - 521A - 521B - 521C - 521D - 533 - 554 - 558 - 560 - 561 - 570 - 574 - 575 - 578 - 580 - 582 - 584 - 585 - 587 - 590 - 592 - 600 - 605 - 606 - 607 - 608 - 614 - 620 - 629 - 632 - 633 - 634 - 635 - 636 - 638 - 642 - 654 - 655 - 657 - 659 - 670 - 672 - 735 - 775. 20 Points	20
	The AD-1155 will contain only practices that are identified on the current SHPO/THPO agreement as "Undertakings with little to no Potential to Affect Historic Properties". Or, CR has already been reviewed and approved by NRCS. No Potential to Affect Includes: 201, 315, 328, 329, 330, 340, 345, 353, 355, 367, 371, 372, 379, 399, 449, 450, 484, 511, 528, 554, 557, 587, 588, 590, 591, 592, 595, 629, 775, 521A, 521B, 521C, 521D, 589C. There are also 30 other practices that are included if certain criteria is met. Please consult the agreement at: https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/ 50 Points	50
	An applicable water quality practice will be installed within one of the identified source water protection counties. 30 points	30
	Application has a livestock type tagged on the application home screen. 20 points	20
	If selected for contracting, this application will allow the participant to become a first-time adopter using all of the planned practices included in CART. Consider adopted practices on all associated agricultural operation(s) that they are involved in. 30 points	30
	Sorry, none of the above apply. 0 points	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: FY21 Statewide Wildlife Terrestrial Habitat, State

Question	Answer Choices	Points
T&E (State and Federal) 1. Does application support implementation of the species recovery plan(s) e.g., state, federal, and other recovery plans)	YES	25
	NO	0
T&E (State and Federal) 2. Will the application benefit listed species or designated critical habitat?	Project located in occupied habitat or DCH 25	25
	Project located in suitable habitat within 1/2 mile of occupied habitat 15	15
	Project located within suitable habitat within 1 mile of occupied habitat 10	10
	Project located within suitable habitat 5	5
	none	0
Pollinators Increased Habitat 1. Will the application increase the quantity or quality of pollen and nectar for pollinators?	YES	20
	NO	0
Pollinators Increased Habitat 2. Does the planned habitat include some plant species that bloom in spring, summer and fall?	YES	15
	NO	0
Pollinators Likelihood of project success 3 Will existing or planned management activities that occur in or adjacent to the planned habitat (i.e. pesticide use, livestock grazing without prescribed grazing plan, crop production, timber management, etc.) harm the habitat or the pollinators that use the habitat?	YES	-50
	NO	15
Wildlife Corridors - Pacific flyway - Waterfowl - Increased Habitat 1. Will the application increase the quality or quantity of suitable habitat, forage resources, and shelter/cover for migratory species?	YES	20
	NO	0
Wildlife Corridors - Big game winter ranges Mule deer, big horn, elk, others? -Increased Habitat 1. Will the application increase the quality or quantity of suitable habitat, forage resources, and shelter/cover for migratory species?	YES	20
	NO	0
Wildlife Corridors - Waterfowl concentration areas - Targeted Approach 2. Will the application increase the quantity or quality of food and/or cover resources in identified concentration area or priority area? (PHS, USFWS, Arid Lands Initiative, etc.)	YES	30
	NO	0